

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/030,972	01/15/2002	Andreas Peter Abel	2001_1861A	4434	
513 7	3 7590 03/29/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.			YU, MELANIE J		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1641		

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathcal{M}	_
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
Office Action Summary		10/030,972	ABEL ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	_
		Melanie Yu	1641	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 N	lovember 2004.		
		s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-92</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-92</u> are subject to restriction and/or one	wn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)☐ objected to by the f	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	` '	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachmen	t(s)			
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
3) 🔲 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/030,972

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-47 and 81-91 are drawn to a device comprising the special technical feature of an optical waveguide.

Group II, claim(s) 48-63 are drawn to a system comprising the special technical feature of at least one excitation light.

Group III, claim(s) 64-79 are drawn to a method comprising the special technical feature of determination of one or more analytes.

Group IV, claim(s) 80 is drawn to a use of a method comprising the special technical feature of determination of analytes.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the use of a method of group IV is not considered an invention under 37 CFR 1.475. The inventions of groups I-III are drawn to method and product claims to more than one combination of inventions as set forth by 37 CFR 1.475 and do not share a special technical feature over the prior art.

According to 37 CFR 1.475 regarding unity of invention:

(a) An international and a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/030,972

Art Unit: 1641

inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

- (b) An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:
- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and a process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more special technical features. The term "special technical features" is defined as meaning those technical features that define a contribution with each of the inventions considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The determination is made based on the contents of the claims as interpreted in light of the description and drawings.

The products recited in groups I and II do not share a special technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art. Feldstein et al. (US 6,192,168) teach a device of group 1, comprising a planar optical waveguide connected to a platform by a sealing medium (col. 5, line 63-col. 6, line 5; col. 8, lines 30-51) and sample compartments comprising different biological recognition elements (regions, col. 6, lines 22-37), wherein the analytes are immobilized in five or more discrete measurement areas (reference numbers 40-50, Fig. 5).

Applicants are allowed at most one product, one method of making and one method of using in a single general inventive concept. However, the product, method of using and method of making of groups I-III do not form a general inventive concept because they do not share a

Art Unit: 1641

special technical feature over the prior art. Feldstein et al. teach a device of group 1, comprising a planar optical waveguide connected to a platform by a sealing medium (col. 5, line 63-col. 6, line 5; col. 8, lines 30-51) and sample compartments comprising different biological recognition elements (regions, col. 6, lines 22-37), wherein the analytes are immobilized in five or more discrete measurement areas (reference numbers 40-50, Fig. 5).

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of M.P.E.P. §821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re*

Art Unit: 1641

Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See M.P.E.P. § 804.01.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Yu whose telephone number is (571) 272-2933. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/030,972

Art Unit: 1641

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melanie Yu Patent Examiner

Miloni

Art Unit 1641

LONG V. LE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

05/17